Reply to Office Action dated: November 20, 2006

Reply dated: April 9, 2007

In the Claims:

Please amend Claims 1, 2, 6, 11, 12 and 16; cancel Claims 7, 9-10, 17 and 19-20; and add

new Claims 21-26, all as shown below. Applicant respectfully reserves the right to prosecute any

originally presented claims in a continuing or future application.

(Currently Amended) A system including a web-based interface for use with a JMS mark-up

language an application program interface, comprising:

a computer including a processing device and a client operating thereon:

a web application including a user interface that executes on a client machine the client and allows a user to enter markup language components commands and communicate said markup

language components to a remote server for processing thereon:

a command processor that executes on a remote server, that receives and validates the

markup language commands, and, for each markup language command converts the markup

language command into a command object for communication to a command dispatcher;

a command dispatcher that executes on the remote server and that receives command objects from the command processor and, for each command object, assigns the command object

to one of a plurality of categories corresponding to a plurality of application program interfaces; and

[[.1]

a plurality of processor modules that execute on the remote server, including a processor

module for each category of application program interface, wherein each processor module receives the command objects assigned to its category, and performs appropriate operations

against the corresponding application program interface a command processor that executes at

a remote server and converts the markup language components into one of JMS or JMX system

operations at the remote server.

2 (Currently Amended) The system of claim 1 wherein the markup language components

commands are communicated as a source file, and wherein the remote server includes a parser that parses said source file to retrieve said markup language components and communicate said

markup language components commands to said command processor.

- 6 -

Reply to Office Action dated: November 20, 2006

Reply dated: April 9, 2007

3. (Original) The system of claim 1 wherein said user interface includes a file selection

device for selecting a source file to be communicated to said command processor.

4. (Original) The system of claim 1 wherein said user interface includes a Web-based

form within which a user can enter markup language commands to be communicated to said

command processor.

(Original) The system of claim 1 wherein said web application is a web browser.

6. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 1 wherein said web application communicates

said markup language components commands to said remote server via a wide area network or

the Internet.

(Canceled).

8. (Original) The system of claim 1 wherein the markup language is JMS markup

language.

9-10. (Canceled).

11. (Currently Amended) A method of using a web-based interface with a JMS mark-up

language an application program interface, comprising the steps of:

providing a web application including a user interface that executes on a client machine and allows a user to enter markup language components commands and communicate said markup

language components commands to a remote server for processing thereon; and,

receiving said markup language components commands at a command processor at a

remote server that validates the markup language commands, and, for each markup language

command converts the markup language command into a command object:

-7-

Reply to Office Action dated: November 20, 2006

Reply dated: April 9, 2007

assigning each command object to one of a plurality of categories corresponding to a

plurality of application program interfaces; and

converting the markup language components into one of JMS or JMX system operations

processing the command objects using a plurality of processor modules, including a

processor module for each category of application program interface, wherein each processor

module receives the command objects assigned to its category, and performs appropriate

operations against the corresponding application program interface at the remote server.

 (Currently Amended) The method of claim 11 wherein the markup language components commands are communicated as a source file, and wherein the remote server includes a parser

that parses said source file to retrieve said markup language components commands and

communicate said markup language components commands to said command processor.

13. (Original) The method of claim 11 wherein said user interface includes a file selection

device for selecting a source file to be communicated to said command processor.

14. (Original) The method of claim 11 wherein said user interface includes a Web-based

form within which a user can enter markup language commands to be communicated to said

command processor.

15. (Original) The method of claim 11 wherein said web application is a web browser.

16. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 11 wherein said web application communicates

said markup language components commands to said remote server via a wide area network or

the Internet.

17. (Canceled).

- 8 -

Reply to Office Action dated: November 20, 2006

Reply dated: April 9, 2007

18. (Original) The method of claim 11 wherein the markup language is JMS markup

language.

19-20. (Canceled).

21. (New) A computer readable medium including instructions stored thereon, which when

executed cause the computer to perform the steps of:

providing a web application including a user interface that executes on a client machine and

allows a user to enter markup language commands and communicate said markup language

commands to a remote server for processing thereon; and,

communicating said markup language commands to a command processor at a remote

server that validates the markup language commands, and, for each markup language command

converts the markup language command into a command object;

assigning each command object to one of a plurality of categories corresponding to a

plurality of application program interfaces; and

processing the command objects using a plurality of processor modules, including a

processor module for each category of application program interface, wherein each processor module receives the command objects assigned to its category, and performs appropriate

operations against the corresponding application program interface at the remote server.

22. (New) The system of claim 1 wherein at least one of the application program interfaces

conforms to the Java Message Service specification.

23. (New) The system of claim 1 wherein the plurality of application program interfaces include

both application program interface that conforms to the Java Message Service specification and an application program interface that conforms to the Java Management Extensions specification.

24. (New) The method of claim 11 wherein at least one of the application program interfaces

conforms to the Java Message Service specification.

- 9 -

Reply to Office Action dated: November 20, 2006

Reply dated: April 9, 2007

25. (New) The method of claim 11 wherein the plurality of application program interfaces

include both application program interface that conforms to the Java Message Service specification and an application program interface that conforms to the Java Management Extensions

specification.

26. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 21 wherein at least one of the application

program interfaces conforms to the Java Message Service specification.

- 10 -